

17 Constrained Optimization

best frequently has constraints

nutrition

groceries $\vec{g} \geq 0$

prices \vec{p}

price $\min_{\vec{g}} \vec{g} \cdot \vec{p}$

minimum requirements \vec{m}

nutrition value \mathbf{N}

$\mathbf{N} \cdot \vec{g} \geq \vec{m}$

defines linear program, LP

price may be a function of quantity, not linear

quadratic objective, quadratic program, QP

general case mathematical program

portfolios, routing airplanes, running a factory

program as plan, not computer program, can be same

electrical networks [Dennis, 1958]

routing [Kelly, 1991, Papadimitriou & Steiglitz, 1998]

flow control [Low *et al.*, 2002]

layering [Chiang *et al.*, 2007]

sorting

variables \vec{x} , objective minimize $f(\vec{x})$, constraints $\vec{c}(\vec{x})$

max = -min

slack variables to convert inequality to equality

$$c(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \tag{17.1}$$

replace with

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{x}) - s &= 0 \\ s &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17.2}$$

combinatorial x equals 1 or -1 relaxed as algebraic constraint $(x^2 - 1)^2 = 0$

L1 norm

$$|\vec{x}|_1 = \sum_i |x_i| \tag{17.3}$$

compressed sensing, sparsity
 non-differentiable
 [Schmidt *et al.*, 2007]
 $(x)_+ = \max(x, 0)$
 $(x)_- = \max(-x, 0)$

$$|x| = (x)_- + (x)_+ \quad (17.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &\approx |x|_\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} [\log(1 + e^{-\alpha x}) + \log(1 + e^{\alpha x})] \end{aligned} \quad (17.5)$$

$$\frac{d|x|_\alpha}{dx} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha x}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{\alpha x}} \quad (17.6)$$

$$\frac{d^2|x|_\alpha}{dx^2} = \frac{2\alpha e^{\alpha x}}{(1 + e^{\alpha x})^2} \quad (17.7)$$

minimize for increasing α

17.1 LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS

single equality constraint $c(\vec{x}) = 0$

step in direction \vec{d} to minimize f while satisfying the constraint

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c(\vec{x} + \vec{\delta}) \\ &\approx c(\vec{x}) + \nabla c \cdot \vec{\delta} \\ &= \nabla c \cdot \vec{\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (17.8)$$

step also minimizes f

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> f(\vec{x} + \vec{\delta}) - f(\vec{x}) \\ &\approx f(\vec{x}) + \nabla f \cdot \vec{\delta} - f(\vec{x}) \\ &= \nabla f \cdot \vec{\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (17.9)$$

if $\nabla c(\vec{x})$ and $\nabla f(\vec{x})$ aligned not possible to find a direction, hence \vec{x} is a local minimizer
 define *Lagrangian*

$$\mathcal{L} = f(\vec{x}) - \lambda c(\vec{x}) \quad (17.10)$$

solve for

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla \mathcal{L} \\ &= \nabla f - \lambda \nabla c \end{aligned} \quad (17.11)$$

multiple constraints
linear combination

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \lambda_i \nabla c_i(\vec{x}) \quad (17.12)$$

$$f(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \lambda_i c_i(\vec{x}) \quad (17.13)$$

gives $\vec{x}(\vec{\lambda})$, substitute into constraints to find $\vec{\lambda}$
inequality constraint

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq c(\vec{x} + \vec{\delta}) \\ &\approx c(\vec{x}) + \nabla c \cdot \vec{\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (17.14)$$

if constraint not active ($c > 0$), can just do gradient descent $\vec{\delta} = -\alpha \nabla f$
for an active constraint $\nabla f \cdot \vec{\delta} < 0$ and $\nabla c \cdot \vec{\delta} \geq 0$
define half-planes
no intersection if point in same direction $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla c$
same condition, but now $\lambda \geq 0$

17.2 OPTIMALITY

first-order

equality constraints $c_i(\vec{x}), i \in \mathcal{E}$

inequality constraints $c_i(\vec{x}), i \in \mathcal{I}$

inactive constraint $\lambda_i = 0$

complementarity: $\lambda_i c_i = 0$: Lagrange multiplier only non-zero when constraint is active, otherwise reduces to gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\vec{x}} \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \vec{\lambda}) &= 0 \\ c_i(\vec{x}) &= 0 \quad (i \in \mathcal{E}) \\ c_i(\vec{x}) &\geq 0 \quad (i \in \mathcal{I}) \\ \lambda_i &\geq 0 \quad (i \in \mathcal{I}) \\ \lambda_i c_i(x) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17.15)$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions

necessary

second order: positive definite Lagrangian Hessian

sensitivity

replace $c(x) = 0$ with $c(x) = \epsilon$
 minimizer \vec{x} goes to \vec{x}_ϵ

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}_\epsilon) - f(\vec{x}) &\approx \nabla f \cdot (\vec{x}_\epsilon - \vec{x}) \\ &= \lambda \nabla c \cdot (\vec{x}_\epsilon - \vec{x}) \\ &\approx \lambda (c(\vec{x}_\epsilon) - c(\vec{x})) \\ &= \lambda \epsilon \\ \frac{df}{d\epsilon} &= \lambda \end{aligned} \tag{17.16}$$

shadow prices: change in utility per change in constraint
 \vec{x} primal λ dual
 multi-objective
 Pareto
 not possible to improve one constraint without making others worse
 defines Pareto frontier
 can combine in multi-objective function with relative weights

17.3 SOLVERS

17.3.1 Penalty

penalty
 combine

$$\mathcal{F} = f(\vec{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_i c_i^2(\vec{x}) \tag{17.17}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \mu \sum_i c_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_j} \tag{17.18}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = f(\vec{x}) - \sum_i \lambda_i c_i(\vec{x}) \tag{17.19}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_j} \tag{17.20}$$

effectively taking $c_i = -\lambda_i/\mu$
 solving a different problem
 driven to 0 as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$
 large μ ill-conditioned
 nonsmooth penalty

$$\mathcal{F} = f(\vec{x}) + \mu \sum_{i \in E} |c_i(\vec{x})| + \mu \sum_{i \in I} [c_i(\vec{x})]_- \tag{17.21}$$

exact for large enough μ [Nocedal & Wright, 2006]

non-differentiable
 sub-gradient
 approximate (17.5)
 Newton steps, increase

17.3.2 Augmented Lagrangian

augmented Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = f(\vec{x}) - \sum_i \lambda_i c_i(\vec{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_i c_i^2(\vec{x}) \quad (17.22)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_j} + \mu \sum_i c_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_j} \quad (17.23)$$

$\lambda_i^* = \lambda_i - \mu c_i$
 $c_i = (\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*)/\mu$
 vanishes much faster, as Lagrange multiplier estimates converge
 $\lambda_i^{(n+1)} = \lambda_i^{(n)} - \mu c_i$
 minimize \vec{x} , update λ , increase μ

17.3.3 Interior Point

inequality constraints
 can combine for bound, equality constraints
 interior point
 directly solve KKT system of equations
 avoid boundaries
 primal-dual

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\vec{x}} f(\vec{x}) \\ & \text{subject to } \vec{c}_E(\vec{x}) = 0 \\ & \vec{c}_I(\vec{x}) - \vec{s} = 0 \\ & \vec{s} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17.24)$$

solve KKT, perturb from boundary

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f - \lambda_E \cdot \nabla c_E - \lambda_I \cdot \nabla c_I &= 0 \\ \vec{c}_E(\vec{x}) &= 0 \\ \vec{c}_I(\vec{x}) - \vec{s} &= 0 \\ \lambda_i s_i &= \mu \end{aligned} \quad (17.25)$$

Newton step on system
 decrease μ
 same as barrier

minimize

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} f(x) - \mu \sum_i \log s_i \\ \text{subject to } \vec{c}_E(\vec{x}) = 0 \\ \vec{c}_I(\vec{x}) - \vec{s} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17.26)$$

KKT for s_i

$$\mu \frac{1}{s_i} - \lambda_i = 0 \quad (17.27)$$

$$\lambda_i s_i = \mu \quad (17.28)$$

17.4 SELECTED REFERENCES

[Nocedal & Wright, 2006] Nocedal, Jorge, & Wright, Stephen J. (2006). *Numerical Optimization*. 2nd edn. New York: Springer.

Unusually clear coverage of a field full of unusually opaque books.

17.5 PROBLEMS

- (17.1) Given a point (x_0, y_0) , analytically find the closest point on the line $y = ax + b$ by minimizing the distance $d^2 = (x_0 - x)^2 + (y_0 - y)^2$ subject to the constraint $y - ax - b = 0$.
- (17.2) Consider a set of N nodes that has each measured a quantity x_i . The goal is to find the best estimate \bar{x} by minimizing

$$\min_{\bar{x}} \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{x} - x_i)^2, \quad (17.29)$$

however each node i can communicate only with nodes j in its neighborhood $j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$. This can be handled by having each node obtain a local estimate \bar{x}_i , and introducing a consistency constraint $c_{ij} = \bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j = 0 \forall j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$.

- (a) What is the Lagrangian?
- (b) Find an update rule for the estimates \bar{x}_i by evaluating where the gradient of the Lagrangian vanishes.
- (c) Find an update rule for the Lagrange multipliers by taking a Newton step on their constraints.
- (17.3) What is the Newton step for the interior point KKT system?
- (17.4) Solve a 1D spin glass (Problem 15.2) as a constrained optimization with relaxed spins.